

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,184	1	2/01/2003	Brian K. Revill	050885-0306961	1330
20583	7590	10/24/2006		EXAMINER	
JONES DA	_		PATEL, VISHAL A		
222 EAST 4 NEW YORK		017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3673	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 A				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Assign Comments	10/724,184	REVILL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vishal Patel	3673			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported by the second section apports the second section apports the second se	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 C	October 2006.	•			
		s action is non-final.				
3)□	/ _					
	closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9,10,12,15-18,22,23,27 and 29</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9,10,12,15-18,22,23,27 and 29</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍'37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		A\□ (====================================	, (DTO 442)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/724,184 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/10/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4, 7, 9, 10, 15-18, 22-23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz (US. 5,035,185) in view of Breaker (US. 5,518,257).

Schultz discloses a gasket having a substantially square or rectangular unitary frame comprised of a plasticized resin and having an inner peripheral edge (inner edge 30), an outer peripheral edge (outer edge 32), an upper surface and a lower surface (upper and lower surfaces of 12) and the inner peripheral edge including a nose or protrusion (nose or protrusion 20) comprised of the resin wherein the nose or protrusion projects beyond the upper or the lower surfaces. The frame is formed as an integral unitary structure by welding at corners 16. The gasket is provided with holes (holes 18) to accommodate sealing bolts. The protrusion or nose extends around substantially the entire perimetral length of the frame and having a shape.

Regarding claim 15-18: The gasket of Schultz is capable of being placed on another gasket. the nose is provided on opposite sides thereof (the nose or protrusion is on the upper and lower surfaces of the gasket). The at least one side of the gasket is planar and wherein the frame is locally enlarged at its inner peripheral edge to form the nose which projects beyond the plane of the gasket on the at least one side thereof to effect sealing contact (method limitations given little patentable weight in an apparatus claim). Furthermore Schultz teaches to have the nose or protrusion on the inner peripheral edge of the frame. The cross-section of the frame is of rectangular cross-section with the dimension perpendicular to the gasket plane being smaller than the dimension in the plane of the gasket (figure 3).

Schultz discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that the frame is formed as a monolithic frame. One-piece construction, in place of separate elements forming a whole is well known to one skilled in the art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the integral frame of Schultz to be formed as a monolithic frame, since providing one piece-construction in place of separate elements forming a single whole element is merely a matter of obvious engineering choice. In re Kohno, 391 F.2d 959, 157 USPQ 275 (CCPA 1968); In re Larson, 340 F.2d 965, 144 USPQ 347 (CCPA 1965).

Schutlz discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that the inner peripheral edge is coated with a polytetrafluoroethylene liner. Breaker discloses a gasket having an inner peripheral edge (inner peripheral edge of 510) and a PTFE liner (526) is placed on the inner peripheral edge. The liner is substantially uniform thickness. The liner is placed on the inner peripheral edge and also on top and bottom surfaces of the gasket, which

forms a U-shape liner. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the inner peripheral edge of Schultz to have a liner that is around the inner peripheral surface and top and bottom surfaces as taught by Breaker, to provide a protection against harsh environment for the gasket (function of PTFE).

Page 4

4. Claims 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz and Breaker as applied to claims above, and further in view of Jelinek (US. 4,026,565).

Schultz and Breaker disclose the invention substantially as claimed above but fail to disclose that the inner peripheral edge is part-circular in cross-section and the nose or protrusion is of curved bulbous configuration. Jelinek disclose a frame that is formed by (63 or 45), the frame having an inner peripheral edge that is flat (42, figure 5) and the frame having an inner peripheral edge that is curved (62, figure 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the inner peripheral edge of Schultz and Breaker to be curved as taught by Jelinek, since having a flat edge or curved edge is considered to be art equivalent.

5. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz and Breaker.

Schultz and Breaker disclose the claimed invention except for the resin to be EPDM. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the resin of Schultz and Breaker to be EPDM resin, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a know material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,184 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7060. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am to 8:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patricia L. Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VP

October 17, 2006

Vishal Patel

Primary Examiner

Tech. Center 3600